1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	IGT, a Nevada Corporation,	
8	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:17-cv-02532-RFB-GWF	
9	vs. ORDER	
10	SCRAPPY ELEGANT GAMING, LLC, DARRYL ROSENBLATT and JAMIE LEIGH	
11	KLINGLER,	
12	Defendants.	
13	<i></i>	
14	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Second Motion for	
15	Extension of Time to File Responsive Pleading (ECF No. 47), filed on February 7, 2018.	
16	Plaintiff represents that it received Defendants' second motion for extension of time on	
17	February 5, 2018, which is attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiff's response. However, Defendants'	
18	second motion for extension of time was never independently filed on the docket. Defendants'	
19	proposed motion requests a 90-day extension of time to obtain counsel and file a responsive	
20	pleading to Plaintiff's complaint. Plaintiff, however, is only willing to give Defendants a three da	ιy
21	extension of time to respond — from February 6, 2018 to February 9, 2018— because this case ha	ıs
22	been pending for over four months. Response (ECF No. 47), 2. Upon review, the Court finds that	t a
23	two week extension of time, measured from February 9, 2018, is warranted. Accordingly,	
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Second Motion for	,
25	Extension of Time to File Responsive Pleading (ECF No. 47) is <b>denied</b> .	
26		
27	•••	
28		

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' shall file a responsive pleadings no later than <u>February 23, 2018</u>. The Court will no grant a further exception unless extraordinary circumstances are present.

DATED this 7th day of February, 2018.

GEORGE FOLLY, JR. United States Magistrate Judge